Aharon-Vaidman quantum game with a Young-type photonic qutrit
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The Aharon-Vaidman (AV) game exemplifies the advantage of using simple quantum systems to outperform classical strategies. We present an experimental test of this advantage by using a three-state quantum system (qutrit) encoded in a spatial mode of a single photon passing through three slits. The preparation of a particular state is controlled as the photon propagates through the slits by varying the number of open slits and their respective phases. The measurements are achieved by placing detectors in the specific positions in the near and far fields after the slits. This set of tools allowed us to perform tomographic reconstructions of generalized qutrit states, and to implement the quantum version of the AV game with compelling evidence of the quantum advantage.
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The Aharon-Vaidman (AV) game [1] is a conceptually simple example of how quantum mechanics can be both beneficial and counterintuitive. In the classical analog, Alice puts a particle in one of three boxes such that when Bob, who in next turn is allowed to check only two of them, is most likely to find it. Alice, who can either accept or not accept a particular game trial, wins whenever she accepts a trial in which Bob has also discovered the particle. Hence, it is obvious that Alice will not use the box that Bob does not have access to and therefore her chance to win is 50%. However, the result of the game can be totally different when the particle is described by laws of quantum mechanics and Alice prepares it in equal superposition of being in each of the boxes. Then, her chance to win can reach 100% if she takes a specific projective measurement after Bob’s turn.

In this article, we present an experimental realization of the AV quantum game using a single photon as the incident particle and a system of three slits in lieu of the boxes. The original three-box paradox was proposed by Aharonov and Vaidman in Ref. [2]. The quantum game [1] was conceived much later and exhibits a clear quantum advantage if the game rules are followed with care. The setup comprising of a single-photon source (heralded parametric downconversion source or attenuated laser), triple slit [3], and single-photon detectors allowed us to perform optimized quantum tomography to characterize the qutrit states and to play the game correctly in the next step. Some quantum communication protocols that can be considered as quantum games such as coin tossing [4] and the Byzantine Agreement [5–7] have been demonstrated previously. However, the AV game is a specific example of a quantum game where one can demonstrate the quantum advantage playing the game with a single particle at a time in contrast to the entanglement-based games [4,5].

We will begin with the introduction of the concept of the qutrit encoded in spatial degrees of freedom of a single photon [8–10]. In the next step, we discuss the AV quantum game [1] and its experimental implementation. Presented implementation of the game is conceptually similar to the Young experiment, where multilevel quantum systems can be encoded in paths related to a photon passing through the slits. Recently, this type of quantum state encoding has drawn much interest. In particular, Taguchi et al. used the parametric downconversion source to prepare two qubit [9] and two qutrit [10] states. In turn, Lima et al. in Ref. [11] demonstrated the seven- and eight-dimensional state encoding. Alternative approaches resort to state encoding in various hybrid ways such as energy time [12] or polarization-orbital angular momentum [13]. Those implementations were useful to perform a Bell test for energy-time entangled qutrits [12] and to demonstrate the optimal cloning strategy [14], respectively. More recently, the noncontextuality of quantum mechanics was tested based on a similar scheme [15,16].

The Young-type qutrit is realized using triple slits and a single-photon source (SPS). The photon’s initial spatial mode is Gaussian with the characteristic diameter much larger than the size of the slits and with the peak intensity coincident with the slit area (see inset in Fig. 1). Under these conditions, one can consider the state of a photon of wavelength $\lambda$ in the position $r = (x,z)$ to be a plane wave $\exp(ikr)$ propagating in the direction given by the wave vector $k = (k_x,k_z)$ of length $k = 2\pi/\lambda$. Moreover, the photon’s initial propagation direction is assumed to be paraxial and the distance between the slits larger than their characteristics widths. This allows us to approximate the phase to be constant at each of the slits. Hence, the spatial wave function of the photon passing through the $n$th slit can be written as $|n\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx S_n(x) \exp(i k_x x) |x\rangle$, where $n = 0,1,2$ and $S_n(x)$ stands for the transmission probability amplitude, which we assume is constant on the slit and 0 elsewhere. This means that the total wave function of the photon passing through
The interpretation of measurements in the far field needs more attention. A detection in the position \( x \) in the focal plane corresponds to the projector onto \( |k_x\rangle \), which is related to the plane wave propagating in the direction given by the transverse wave vector \( k_x = x k/f \). Hence, the probability to detect a photon \(|\psi(k_x)\rangle^2\) can be seen as proportional to \(|\sqrt{2 \pi} \sin(k_x a) \langle \psi(k_x, d) | \psi \rangle|^2\), where we introduced \(|\psi(\theta)\rangle = |0\rangle + \exp(i \theta) |1\rangle + \exp(i 2 \theta) |2\rangle\). Based on this observation, we can define the measurement operator in the far field as \( M_{\text{ff}}(\theta) = \mu_{\text{ff}}(\theta) \langle \psi(\theta) | \langle \phi(\theta) | \phi(\theta) \rangle \), where \( \mu_{\text{ff}}(\theta) \) is the normalization factor, the phase parameter reads as \( \theta = 2 \pi x d / k f \), and the subscript \( \text{ff} \) stands for far field.

The measurement operators \( M_{\text{af}} \) and \( M_{\text{ff}} \) can be used to construct rank-7 positive operator value measure (POVM) set allowing one for reconstruction of arbitrary pure state. For this reason, we take three near-field measurements \( M_{\text{af}}(n) \), \( n = 0, 1, 2 \), and six far-field operators \( M_{\text{ff}}(\theta) \) corresponding to \( \theta = \{0, \pi, 2 \pi / 3, -2 \pi / 3, 5 \pi / 3, -5 \pi / 3\} \). This specific choice requires renormalization, which can be done when \( 6 \mu_{\text{ff}}(\theta) = \mu_{\text{af}} = 1/2 \).

The ability to encode and measure qutrit states can be utilized to demonstrate Aharon and Vaidman’s quantum game [1]. A classical strategy allows Alice for at most 50% chance to win. On the other hand, when she uses quantum particles, her chance rises above this limit and ideally reaches 100%, when she chooses her initial state to be \( |\psi_A\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |2\rangle) \) in the first turn of the game. In the second turn, assuming Bob has access to slits (boxes) 0 and 2, if he decides to check if the photon is passing though slit number 0, he does a projective measurement on the state \( |0\rangle \). If he finds the particle, then his state becomes \( |\psi_B^{(0)}\rangle = |0\rangle \), otherwise \( |\psi_B^{(n)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle + |2\rangle) \). The photon detection results in losing the photon from the system, otherwise the photon goes through opened slits. This can be simulated by blocking slit number 0, which will allow us to simulate all those cases when Bob’s detector did not click. On the other hand, the case of finding a photon in slit number 0 can be simulated by closing all others. Next, in the third turn of the game, Alice makes a projective measurement on \( |\psi_{\text{Am}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle + |2\rangle) \). This can be done by placing the detector D1 in the far-field plane in the position corresponding to POVM element \( M_{\text{ff}}(\theta = \pi) \). If Alice detects a particle, she accepts the game trial, and if she does not, she cancels it. Now, it is clear that Alice can not lose as whenever Bob does not detect a photon, the state after the second turn is \( |\psi_B^{(0)}\rangle \) and Alice’s detector never clicks as \( \langle \psi_B^{(0)} | \psi_{\text{Am}} \rangle^2 = 0 \). If Bob found the particle in a slit 0 and tried to leave no trace of that, Alice has \( \langle \psi_B^{(1)} | \psi_{\text{Am}} \rangle^2 = 1/3 \) chance to detect it after that. The same reasoning holds if Bob chooses the slit number 2.

The experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 1. We used two single-photon sources: heralded parametric downconversion (PDC) source based on periodically polled potassium titanyl phosphate (PPKTP) crystal (PDC-SPS) and attenuated HeNe laser (AL-SPS). In order to fulfill the assumption of the plane-wave incidence at the slits, we used single-mode fibers (SMFs) and optics to set the characteristic spatial mode diameter to approximately 3 mm. We control the state of the qutrit using blocking mask (B) to change the configuration of opened slits and tilting slightly the mirror (M) to change the incidence angle \( \alpha \). Under these simplifying assumptions,
TABLE I. Photon-count probabilities were measured in the positions related to projective measurements $M_{ff}$ and $M_{nf}$ for three typical states: $|\psi_1\rangle = |0\rangle + |1\rangle + |2\rangle$, $|\psi_2\rangle = |1\rangle + |2\rangle$, $|\psi_3\rangle = |1\rangle + |2\rangle \exp(i\beta)$. 

| Meas. setting/Input state | $|\psi_1\rangle$ | $|\psi_2\rangle$ | $|\psi_3\rangle$ |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| $M_{ff}(-5\pi/3)$         | 0.097(1)      | 0.107(1)      | 0.028(1)      |
| $M_{ff}(-2\pi/3)$         | 0.0017(1)     | 0.056(1)      | 0.034(1)      |
| $M_{ff}(0)$               | 0.259(1)      | 0.177(1)      | 0.176(1)      |
| $M_{ff}(2\pi/3)$          | 0.0014(1)     | 0.047(1)      | 0.049(1)      |
| $M_{ff}(\pi)$             | 0.031(1)      | 0.0010(1)     | 0.178(1)      |
| $M_{ff}(5\pi/3)$          | 0.108(2)      | 0.117(2)      | 0.040(1)      |
| $M_{nf}(0)$               | 0.167(1)      | 0.0027(1)     | 0.0030(1)     |
| $M_{nf}(1)$               | 0.167(1)      | 0.260(1)      | 0.258(1)      |
| $M_{nf}(2)$               | 0.165(1)      | 0.237(1)      | 0.240(1)      |

the experimentally possible states are in the following form: $|\psi\rangle = (|0\rangle + e^{ikd \sin \alpha} |1\rangle + e^{ikd \sin \alpha} |2\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$. The far- and near-field measurements were implemented by photon counting in the transverse planes at distances of 150 and 326 mm, respectively. Large 2-in pellicle beamsplitter (BS) was introduced to reduce the disturbance of the setup while changing the planes of detection. Each detector system (D1, D2) was comprised of a multimode fiber mounted on precise motorized stage and Perkin Elemer avalanche photodiode. Step motors were used to control the transverse position of the fiber with an accuracy of 1 $\mu$m. Counts were registered by the field programmable gate array (FPGA) logic system.

Before simulating the AV game and characterizing prepared states, the setup was calibrated. It was done by opening all slits and setting the initial direction of photon propagation to $\alpha = 0$, which corresponded to preparing the state $(|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |2\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$. Next, we measured the photon count rates as a function of the detector position in far- and near-field planes. The results together with the best fits are presented as insets in Fig. 1. Blue (online) dots represent experimental data, while the continuous line is a theoretical fit. The positions corresponding to the far- (near-) field part of POVM are marked with bigger red dots on inset next to detector D1 (D2). Note that the smoothed shape of the slits image (near field) is attributed to the finite size of a detector.

We characterized the prepared qutrit, which has been done resorting to the POVM set described earlier and quantum-state tomography methods. For this reason, the photon counts were measured by placing detectors in positions related to measurement operators $M_{ff}$ and $M_{nf}$. Those positions are marked with red dots on inset plots in Fig. 1. In order to justify the results of the quantum game, we took three typical states: $|\psi_1\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle + |2\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$, $|\psi_2\rangle = (|1\rangle + |2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, $|\psi_3\rangle = (|1\rangle + |2\rangle \exp(i\beta))/\sqrt{2}$. For the first state, all slits were open, for the second one a slit number 0 was closed, and for the last state the propagation direction of the photon was modified in order to introduce a phase $\beta$. These measurements were done using AL-SPS; its outcomes are gathered in Table I and the results of tomographic reconstruction using the maximal likelihood method are depicted in Fig. 2. It is seen in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) that for the states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$, the real part dominates as there is no phase present. On the other hand, by changing the initial photon direction $\alpha$, it was possible to introduce the phase as is apparent in Fig. 2(c) as the imaginary bars are significant. Ideally, the imaginary part of the density matrix for states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ is zero. Here, the nonzero height is attributed to the noise originating from dark counts, stray light, and imperfect positioning.

For the quantum game, the qutrit was prepared in $|\psi_1\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle$, which was characterized before [see Fig. 2(a)]. We simulated all possible scenarios of Bob’s measurement using PDC-SPS and AL-SPS. The measured photon counts are presented in the table as an inset of Fig. 3. In the perfect case, one expects no counts when two slits are open and Alice sets her detector in the far-field plane in the position related to $M_{ff}(\theta = \pi)$. This corresponds to the first local maximum marked with red dot on plot related to far field inserted in Fig. 1. Here, the measured counts are attributed to the finite size of the multimode fiber core, dark counts, and stray light. We estimate that the former two contribute approximately 3 coincidence counts per 2 s. Despite the background noise from stray light and dark counts, and the slight nonideal properties of Alice’s measurements, she won in 87% of the accepted trials using PDC-SPS and in 82% of the accepted trials using AL-SPS. Note that the overall efficiency of the game, which is due to experimental deficiencies including the photon collection, the detection efficiencies, and number of Alice’s detectors, will
FIG. 3. (Color online) Experimental and theoretical, best classical and best quantum winning trials in the quantum game. The simulation shows the quantum advantage over classical limit of 50% as Alice wins in 82% (87%) of accepted game trials when using AL-SPS (PDC-SPS). The inset table shows the number of photon counts measured by Alice for each of the possible actions by Bob and his measurement outcome. For AL-SPS (PDC-SPS) photons were collected for 2 s (1 min, coincidence window 1 ns).

only limit the number of accepted trials, but not the percentage of winning trials.

In conclusion, we experimentally presented a simple way to implement a qutrit system into a single photon’s spatial degree of freedom, which allowed us to perform state tomography and simulate the AV quantum game. The encoding part resorted to the Young-type experiment, where a photon passes through three slits, which defined its state. By controlling an initial propagation direction of a photon and configuration of the slits, it was possible to encode a certain class of states. Our state reconstruction technique was based on a small number of measurements over a short period of time, which makes our method stable and time efficient in contrast to Ref. [10].
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